

Albrightian

Serving Albright College
since 1904

the uncensored voice of Albright College

November 17, 2000

Admission standards lie in question as Albright falls in Barrons latest rankings

Matthew Kemeny
Editor-in-Chief

Due to falling admission standards, Albright College has dropped to the fourth tier of National Colleges and Universities, as reported by the newest edition of Barron's Guide to Colleges 2001.

This annual report ranks colleges and Universities across the country placing them in categories such as Most Competitive, Very Competitive, Competitive, Less Competitive, and Non-Competitive. As far back as 1992, Barron's ranked Albright in the Very Competitive bracket, and by 1998, Albright had fallen down to Competitive.

The new drop into the Less Competitive bracket is a result of a decline in admission standards, as the college had admitted nearly 86% of all applicants, a number significantly higher than we used to, and nowhere near our competition. The average S.A.T. admittance

scores have fallen too, almost 100 points lower than that in 1992.

"After speaking with Dr. Zimon, it has come to my attention that many of the rankings are simply a popularity contest," commented Dan Black '02. "They are merely numbers set forth. You can visit a college to see what it is all about or you can look at rankings in a book. The choice is yours."

Questions have been raised to college president Col. Henry Zimon, who was appointed during the 1999-2000 year, who vowed to the Reading Times, that he planned on turning Albright into "a world class institution." However, since his appointment, there has been a downfall in the ranking of Albright amongst its competitors. Both Zimon, and Dean of Admissions Greg Eichorn declined to comment on the subject.

Barron's is not the only guide that has dropped Albright lower down the scale of Colleges. In a new study by U.S News and World Report, Albright was ranked near the bottom of the fourth quartile of

all national liberal arts colleges. The average S.A.T. score was fourth from the bottom out of 160 college, and it also shared the 146th spot with five other schools in reputational score. In comparison, in 1991, that same report showed Albright as one of the leaders in

further down that list ever since. Once again, both Zimon and Eichorn failed to offer any comment to these facts.

"It is important for students to understand how these rankings will affect them in the future," said sophomore Brian McAveney. "If the admission standards keep falling, and we fall further in the rankings, then it will give Albright a poor reputation, and make it harder for us to receive decent job placement after college."

Brian McAveney '03

S.A.T. scores in the third quartile.

In 1996, Albright moved into the fourth quartile and has fallen

receive decent job placement after college."

(from the Nov. 9 issue Albrightian)

Albright officials confiscate copies of college newspaper

Steven Henshaw
Eagle/Times

Albright College administrators have confiscated copies of the campus newspaper to delay its distribution until they can meet with student editors to discuss concerns about the content.

The decision Friday triggered accusations of censorship from the student editors of the paper, Albrightian.

But a spokeswoman for the college disagreed.

"It is not censorship," said Barbara Marshall, director of college relations at Albright. "We have no intention of censoring the newspapers. We have a meeting set up with the students Monday to discuss our concerns about it."

Security personnel removed stacks of the Nov. 9 edition from the campus center late Friday afternoon after it had been distributed by Albrightian staff members. Papers were also taken from the newspaper office. Matthew Kemeny, Albrightian editor-in-chief, said he believes the

administration locked the papers away to keep prospective students attending an open house Saturday from seeing a front-page article he wrote.

The article featured student reaction to what some see as a decline in the college's admissions standards and national standing. It focused on a decline in the college's ranking in the 2001 edition of Barron's Profiles of American Colleges.

The publisher issued the rating based on erroneous data it received from the college. It is to be corrected in future editions. Marshall denied the open house had anything to do with the decision to remove the newspapers.

The administration is concerned about issues of accuracy and



Matti Kemeny, editor of the Albrightian, the Albright College newspaper, holds a copy of the edition that was confiscated by administrators.

possible copyright infringement, she said, refusing to be more specific.

Kemeny said his article is factual. "They have no right to censor the newspaper that way," he said.

"They have no right to take all of my newspapers as long as it has factual information. That's a direct violation of my right to free speech."

Kemeny said he wrote the article to inform prospective students as well as the student body.

"That's my job as editor-in-chief of the newspaper, to let people know what's going on in the college," he said. "I don't want to hide behind officials."

Marshall said the college is liable for the content of the paper.

"We're a private institution and the college is ultimately the publisher of the paper," she said. "Our obligation is to protect our students."

She said the students may distribute the paper after the meeting Monday.

"We called them, we let them know we are going to put the paper in safekeeping until we can talk to them Monday, at which time we'll tell them what our concerns are," Marshall said. "They are free to distribute it after they know what the consequences are."

Albright College Confiscates Student Newspaper

READING (AP)
The Morning Call

Students who work on the college newspaper at Albright College say administrators pulled the latest edition from campus before a Friday open house for prospective students.

Newspaper adviser Achal Mehra, who teaches in the communications department, said hundreds of newspapers were removed from the campus center and other spots as they were being distributed, and security staff members then went to the newspaper office and took the rest of the 1,500 papers.

Student Matt Kemeny, editor of the Albrightian believes that the college feared that prospective students at the open house would see critical articles on the paper's front page.

The articles contained student reaction to reported declines, which turned out to be erroneous, in the college's admissions standards and national ranking. The publisher of Barron's profiles of American Colleges and Universities issued the rating based on erroneous data sent by the college, and it will be corrected in future editions.

"That's my job as editor-in-chief of the newspaper, to let people know what's going on at the college," Kemeny said.

"They have no right to censor the newspaper that way," he said.

"The have no right to take all of my newspapers as long as it has factual information. That's a direct violation of my right to free speech."

A college spokeswoman said the newspapers "are in safekeeping" until a planned meeting with student editors today. She said the students can distribute the newspapers after the meeting.

"We're a private institution and the college is ultimately the publisher of the paper," said Barbara Marshall, director of college relations.

"It's not censorship," she said.

continued on Page 2...

Inside This Special Issue of The Albrightian...

Read the "censored" article that started a controversy, here at Albright...Front Page.

The Albrightian editors voice their opinion of the censored article, and the false allegations of copyright infringement...Page 3, 4

Check out the Personals again...shout outs for our students.

Page 3

Find out the reactions of the Albright Community: including Administration, students, faculty and staff...Pages 3-4.

| Index | |
|-----------|-----|
| News | 1-2 |
| Personals | 3 |
| Opinions | 3-4 |

Students claim victory in Albright dispute

AP State and Local Wire

READING, Pa. --Students who work on the college newspaper at Albright College got busy Monday after administrators returned seized copies of the latest edition of *The Albrightian*. "We were victorious in getting our papers back," said Matt Kemeny, editor of the paper. "Everything was returned to us. We're putting them out as we speak."

Administrators and students met Monday afternoon to discuss the confiscation of hundreds of newspapers from the campus center and other locations Friday, followed by the seizure of the rest of the 1,500 copies from the newspaper office. "I fell that the administration

learned their lesson when they tried to censor us. I am sure after this incident they will not try to do this again," Kemeny said.

Kemeny had said he believed college officials feared that prospective students attending an open house Friday would see articles on reported declines in the college's admissions standards and national ranking. The publisher of Barron's Profiles of American Colleges issued the rating, which turned out to be erroneous, based on data sent by the college, and college officials have said it will be corrected in future editions.

Barbara Marshall, director of college relations, said the open house did not prompt the seizures. "Their contention was that that

was the reason for confiscating the papers. The college denies that," she said.

Marshall said officials were concerned about the Albrightian's use of material from another newspaper, which turned out to be by agreement with that paper, as well as about the material concerning the rankings.

"We had a conversation with the students about correcting it," she said. "The basic upshot of the meeting is that the college administration gave the newspapers back to the students. The students allayed our concerns."

Kemeny said he expects to publish "a slew" of articles about the incident in the next edition of the paper, due out in early December.

Albright College officials return seized student paper

After a contentious meeting, college officials release copies of the campus newspaper they had seized earlier.

Charles Schenk
Eagle/Times

Albright College returned copies of the student newspaper to the young journalists who had created them after a nearly two-hour meeting Monday.

The meeting was often loud enough to be heard through the doors of the conference room in the Campus Center, where it was held.

Student journalists who write and edit the Albrightian, and their academic adviser, English professor Achal Mehra, came out of the meeting asserting they had won a victory.

Editor-in-chief Matthew Kemeny said the college returned the newspapers with out getting any concessions from the student journalists and without trying to penalize them.

Later Monday, the student journalists issued a press release that quoted Kemeny accusing Albright administrators of military-style strong-arm tactics.

Distribution of the Albrightian came just one day before a Saturday reception for 160 prospective students and their families.

Reporters and editors who produced the Nov. 9 issue of the Albrightian continued to say they believe administrators seized the 1300 copies because a front page article cast the school in a bad light. Thomas C. Brogan, acting executive vice president for academic affairs, denied the charge. He said the main reason the school seized the papers was concern about infringement of copyright.

On inside pages, the issue reprints two articles from the Reading Eagle and Reading Times.

Reading Eagle Company has a long-standing practice of allowing the Albrightian to reprint its articles, but college administrators did not know that, Brogan said.

Kemeny said he wrote the article solely from the Barron's guidebook and other printed sources because Dean of Admission Gregory Eichhorn and Dr. Henry A. Zimon, Albright president, declined to be interviewed.

Eichhorn said Monday that he sat in on Kemeny's attempt to interview Zimon.

Before the interview, Zimon wrote responses to questions Kemeny had submitted in advance. Zimon declined to give responses to Kemeny when the editor said he might quote them in an article for the Albrightian.

Zimon wanted his responses to be used only in an article for Kemeny's course on news writing, Eichhorn said.

Eichhorn said he didn't comment because Kemeny didn't ask him to.

Kemeny said Zimon asked him to sign an agreement not to quote Zimon's responses for publication. Kemeny refused.

Kemeny also said he asked Eichhorn to comment but that Eichhorn declined.

Zimon was unavailable for comment.

Kemeny's article is similar to an anonymous press release that Mehra sent over a month ago, to the Reading Eagle and Reading Times.

Mehra acknowledged in a recent interview that he wrote the release.

Mehra's release cited the same information in Barron's that Kemeny's article cited.

Asked about the release, Albright administrators said Barron's had lowered the college's academic ranking only because someone at Albright had sent Barron's incorrect admissions data.

A Barron's spokeswoman said the information and ranking will be revised in future printings. Both Kemeny and Mehra said they had not known about the other's work.

Mehra said he was in Illinois last week and did not see the Albrightian before it went to press.

Kemeny said he came up with the idea for the article while looking through the Web site of a magazine that also ranks colleges and universities.

Stefan Presser, legal director of the American Civil Liberties Union of Pennsylvania, said he was profoundly disappointed to hear about the seizure of the newspapers.

As a private college, however, Albright probably did not violate the students' rights under the constitution, Presser added.

The Student Press Law Center in Arlington Va., which provides free legal advice to student journalists and their advisors, condemned the seizure.

Brogan responded that administrators regard the school as The Albrightian's publisher, and so had the right to seize the papers.

The college has a responsibility to protect students from copyright infringement," Brogan said.

by the
way:
The
Albrightian
has permission
to reprint all
articles from
the Reading
Eagle/Times.

SECURITY ALERT

On Saturday evening, November 11, a copy of an Albrightian Student was reported. The suspect is not an Albright Student but was known to the female and was involved in a fight in the

campus center. The suspect was taken to the Reading Police Department. The Reading Police Department is currently investigating the incident.

Student Newspaper

continued from page 1...

"We have no intention of censoring the newspapers. We have a meeting set up with the students Monday to discuss our concerns about it. They are free to distribute it after they know what the consequences are."

Marshall denied that the timing of the decision had anything to do

with the open house.

Mehra, the adviser, was in Illinois when his students reached him with the news. He has been a vocal critic of college President Henry A. Zimon.

"It's rather curious," he said. "I'm in Skokie, where the KKK was allowed to march, and in Albright we can't write stories

THE ALBRIGHTIAN

Albright College Box #107 • 13th & Bern Streets
P.O. Box 15234 • Reading, PA 19612-5234
Phone: (610) 921-7558 • Fax: 610-921-7589

Editorial Staff

Editor-in-Chief
Assistant Editor-in-Chief
News Editor
Consulting Editor
Copy Editor
Editorials Editor
Features Editor
Sports Editor
Personals Editor
Office Manager

Matthew Kemeny
Kristen Lavis
Geoffrey Smith
Achal Mehra

B.J. Cherry
Kate Ketter
Dan Black
Brian Canonica
Melissa Migliaccio

Senior Writers

Jason Bedisky, Rayna McKinnon, Geoffrey Smith

Lion Reporters

Geoffrey Smith, Melanie Weik, Lauren Tosti, Greg James, Chris Davis, Ellen Terrible, Dan Penucci, Kristen Lavis, Jim DeLuccia, Mark Glassman (Circulation Manager), Kelly Grieco, Kerry Kelleher, Rachael Perkins, Kirsten Byers, David Cappello, Derek DeCosmo



Members of "The Albrightian" staff, from left, news editor Geoffrey Smith, assistant news/photos editor Melanie Weik, layout/design editor Kristen Lavis and editor-in-chief Matthew Kemeny, stand in front of stacks of the college newspaper Monday.

The Albrightian is published six times a semester by the students of Albright College except during vacation, holidays, and examination periods. This publication is printed by The Susquehanna Printing Press in Ephrata, PA. All submissions to The Albrightian become the property of The Albrightian and the editors hold the copyright. All opinions expressed in The Albrightian reflect those of the author (columns and letters) or the editorial board (editorials) and are not necessarily those of Albright College, its faculty, staff, or administration.

Letters Policy: The Albrightian encourages comments on news coverage, editorial policy, and college affairs. Letters must be submitted on a 3.5 inch IBM diskette, and the author should include an address and phone number for verification. All letters must be signed. The Albrightian reserves the right to edit letters for length and to reject letters if they are libelous or do not conform to standards of good taste. Send letters to Campus Center Box 107. All letters received become the property of The Albrightian.

Personals

Catherine Smith, E-300,

Since when do cats become pigs! When is our spin the bottle mixer?

--The girls

????????

Hummel,

Switching Sisters?

Not a good idea! Next time wait a couple days...or find cat smith...meow!

Jackson,
H-A-W-K-S
Go Hawks!!!

Rooooomie,
Stop being a slut!

Shady talk Club,
are we ever gonna meet again?

--Whomp-Whomp

Pat,

let's step into the square

Wynn,

you were a great date. Thanx for not getting sick!

LD,

still love ya...always here...get off your lazy ass and come see me for a change.

????????

Buttercup and daddy,

I miss you guys. We need to hang out again.

Love,

Momma

Big Rig and Zeus,

Stay up next time. Who goes to bed before 2???

---The Samoan

Cappello,

The Zima is just too cold to chug. But i challenge you to do it!

---She Bangs

Haughney,

I kicked you ass! Don't F*** with me again!!!

---The door

Administration,

why did you pull me, i haven't been pulled in 20 years?

---The

Campus Center fire alarm

Kristen, Geoff, Achal, and staff,

we did it!!!!!!

Opinions / Editorials

From the editor's desk, I have become the teacher

We the students:

My father, who was an intelligent man, once told me, "Matt...stand up for what you believe in, or you will fall for everything." However, never would I have known how crucial that advise would be to me this past weekend.

As editor of the Albrightian, I seem like tiny star in the sky, compared to my journalistic peers. But no matter how small this newspaper is, I refuse to have my most basic rights taken away from me. Administration at Albright College confirmed to me that they do not read the Albrightian on a regular basis. Or else they would have came up with that bogus "copyright infringement" charge years ago. Since the Albrightian has consistently printed articles from the Eagle/Times in past years it can be easily inferred that this charge was one that was a blanket statement just to cover up the real reason why they pulled the newspapers. I

believe the real reason why the administration pulled the papers, was because there was an open house at the college, and they did not want the perspective students to see how the college has declined academically. They constantly reassure students that Albright is a "best buy," for incomers, when in fact it is not. Administration insists on lying to students to "pass the buck." This is not right.

Free speech and freedom of the press is a constitutional right that our forefathers gave their lives for so that their ancestors would not be subject to suppression. As a military man himself, Col. Zimon should understand that. If we continue to lose our freedom, this will not be America, but rather a dictatorship. It's a particular freedom that I hold very dear, because it is one that lets us as a community express ourselves and contribute to this democracy that we live in. I want to live in a society, where I have the choice, to pick up the newspaper and read it if I want to, or throw it in the trash if that is where I think it belongs. Yet, I do

hope that we the students read our Albrightian, to learn more about our institution and to voice our opinion, and be heard. On another note, I am thrilled with student and faculty support in retrieving our papers back. Without you it would of been a harder hill to climb. But we did reach the top of that mountain. We retrieved our papers back. We fought against the odds, and did not at any point back down to the stern and bullheaded administrators. We eventually proved at the outcome that we did in fact have copyright permission from the Eagle/Times.

As editor-in-chief of the Albrightian, I am thrilled that we stood our ground and stopped the military-type form of censorship that administration used in pulling our papers. I want all students opinions on the subject, I want to hear the voices of Albright in the next edition.

And as for administration, I hope that you learned your lesson, and I hope that in the future you think

twice about halting our right to speak. If not the consequence may be more severe.

And father:: I'm glad that you gave me that advise when i was knee high, as I saw you reading the Philadelphia Inquirer. Just as the freedom of press of that paper lives on, so does ours. And father: I may have lost alot of the values that you taught me, but when I dumped the Albrightians on the floor of the campus center, I remembered that one important one, I didn't back down, and I protected my right and my societies' right to free speech. Administration should thank me for teaching them that lesson. For once, I became the teacher and they were the student.

Opinions

Dean of Admission gives his thoughts on the newspaper confiscation this past weekend

To the Editor:

The lead article in the current edition of the *Albrightian* contains a number of factual errors that grossly misrepresent the school and our admission standards. I would first like to put all of you who care about the College at ease. The fact is that our standards and acceptance rate have been steadily improving since the mid-90's. The College did fall to the fourth tier in *US News & World Reports* in 1996, principally because

of our poor financial situation during the earlier part of the decade. Our academic reputation as reported by *US News* is determined by how other liberal arts colleges perceive us. The declaration of financial exigency in 1993 was detrimental to that perception. Unfortunately, it will take many years to improve how other colleges perceive us. As for Barron's, we are still ranked as a "competitive" school. Summer editions of the guidebook did list us a "less competitive" because of a

reporting error made by the College. As many of you are aware this error was disclosed publicly and Barron's has made the appropriate changes for future editions.

As for our acceptance rate, the actual figure for last year was 71%, meaning that 71% of all applicants were admitted, and not 86%. This figure compares favorably with our peers. As for *US News*, we made significant gains last year. We are currently ranked 127th, up 11 places from a year ago. This actually puts

us at the top of the tier, not the bottom. SAT scores are something that we place far less emphasis on than many of our peers.

I am deeply disappointed by The *Albrightian's* failure to report the story accurately. Your story does a disservice to our students, the College and your readers.

Gregory E. Eichhorn
Dean of Admission

From the assistant editor: thoughts on censorship

To the Albright Community,

As the Assistant Editor-in-Chief of *The Albrightian*, and as an active member of the Albright Community, the past several days have left me with a barrage of amazement and disbelief as to how students and their rights as free citizens have been blatantly, "walked all over." I write to you today, as both an Editor and as a student of Albright College. I find it hard to fathom, even as a student at a private institution such as Albright, that students are perceived as "customers". In the eyes of administrators and college personnel, we are only a market, openly available to the services Albright offers. There's only one catch: you are required to give up your rights as an individual, and a member of a civilized society; a society which grants each and every person the protection of the Constitution and its twelve Amendments. Well, this week, my rights as a student and as an editor of a private college publication, were taken for granted and in fact, taken from me. I want to first talk to you from the position of an Albright Student, and then from my view as an Editor of *The Albrightian*.

I have found myself battling my conscience, my morals and my

known rights as an American citizen, as I began to unravel the facts of what I believe to be an atrocity committed last Friday. I find as a student, or as a paying recipient of services of Albright, (as I commonly feel like), that my right to information was denied, as administrators confiscated a newspaper who only reported the facts. It is not the fault of the reporter or the staff of the *Albrightian* for a rather subtle error made and reported to Barron's, and several other notable national ranking institutions by a school official. It is the responsibility of the school to see that these inappropriate mistakes do not occur, and that when they do occur, to take action appropriately and in a timely fashion; which includes notifying not only faculty, but the entire Albright community as well. Everyone here at Albright deserves the chance to be involved in what is happening in, around, with and to Albright College. This includes the notification and report of our national ranking, which Albright is currently published as, whether it be the fault of the college or not. This mistake may have hurt Albright's admissions, whether this article was published in *The Albrightian* at all. I feel it should not be the decision of administration to determine what is newsworthy, and what is not, just

because some feelings were stepped on.

Now, as an Editor: I believe I have the right and the responsibility to protect *The Albrightian* and its staff, from infringement of their rights and the rights we have as members of not just a private college publication, but that of a publication in general—period. The actions which administrators took were both inappropriate, and unconstitutional. I feel that the reasons given surrounding the removal and censorship of the paper were both irrelevant and unsubstantiated. I too, as well as many other members of both the Albright community and *The Albrightian*, have my own opinions and ideas which I have formed due to the confiscation of the paper. But it is my job as a journalist to report only the facts and relevant information pertaining to a story from an objective point of view. With this in mind, I support Matt Kemeny and his effort to report a factually accurate report, according to two highly respectable and nationally excepted publications.

As I support *The Albrightian* and Matt Kemeny in his decision to print the article, I am also able to see administration's point of view regarding this matter. I believe the school was in fact, "looking out for

its best interests", as they came to the decision to remove the paper. But as I understand the college's view, I believe administrators not only conducted themselves in a very unprofessional and devious manner, but also violated the rights of students, faculty and staff. After all, *The Albrightian* is "*The Voice of Albright College*"—therefore, the entire Albright community is welcome to participate and submit both articles and editorials to the office of *The Albrightian*.

With this in mind, I believe the rights of not only the students, but the entire Albright community were infringed upon. I think it is not only students who should feel violated, but everyone who is involved at Albright. I hope administration has reconsidered its actions and will, in the future, be respectful toward the rights of not just *The Albrightian*, but the Albright College community in general.

Sincerely,

M. Kristen Lavis

Assistant Editor-in-Chief

Wave your rights!!! Come to Albright!!!

Dear Editor:

Albright College has been the subject of controversy as of late. I suppose the head of public relations for the college deserves a pat on the back. After all, the questions surrounding President Zimon's integrity were never answered, and yet the subject seems to have died down in the local press. Unfortunately, the controversy didn't end there. Achal Mehra, a faculty member fed up with Zimon's evasion of truth took some measures to keep the subject alive and in question in the minds of students, faculty, and the public. Some of his methods may have been dubbed radical by some, the methods the school took to shut him up were equally as extreme. Maybe they haven't heard the old adage "two wrongs don't make a right." Mehra

was stripped of his school e-mail account for sending a mass mail throughout the system with a subject Zimon and his allies didn't like. There were instances last spring during the heat of the controversy where signs posted around campus were torn down. Maybe if a book was written on the subject, they would throw a bonfire to "celebrate" it. I don't know about you, but it seems that those in power are becoming more of a Gestapo than administrators dedicated to running a quality institution. Yes, Albright is a private college, and operates free from the law in some cases, but liberal arts or not, the people running this school are taking some liberties that erase our rights as citizens.

The latest chapter in the story of controversy on this campus was the removal of the last issue of *The Albrightian* because it said some things the school isn't too proud to

admit. The removal of the issue came without warning even to the staff of the paper. Two things bother me about the action and their excuses. Point One: The school claimed that the issue of the report was secondary. (Sorry, I thought they would be concerned with the quality of the institution they are running. Maybe the fact that academic excellence isn't the top priority might have a bearing on how well a school is ranked...) Point Two: The college stated that their main concern was the reprint of several *Reading Eagle* and *Reading Times* articles. *The Albrightian* has been reprinting articles from these newspapers for the past several years. (I'm glad that the people running our college have a thorough knowledge of how things operate, since *The Albrightian* has had a working cooperation with these papers.) As Editor-in-Chief Matt

Kemeny had also mentioned, maybe it's just coincidence that the confiscation occurred at the same time as the open house. Perhaps the whole incident could have been averted if the school had the sense to find out what the situation was before they acted. You know, *look before you leap*. I'm tired of the problems that come about because the administration is reactive, not proactive. It affects my life here as a student, and will affect me after I graduate.

Let's take a look at where we stand now. Evidently, students at Albright don't have First Amendment rights. We don't have Fourth Amendment rights, either. Reports have come in from faculty that emails have been read by the school without you knowing it. These reports have not been confirmed as of yet. Students are also subject to unannounced

To the Albright College Community

After further review of the issues surrounding the confiscation of the November 9, 2000 issue of the *Albrightian*, the Student Government Association demands that the administration apologize to the campus community for inappropriately handling the situation. Furthermore, SGA requests that Dr. Achal Mehra step down as advisor to the *Albrightian*.

SGA feels that the concerns regarding the front page article of the *Albrightian* have legitimacy. But, the actions taken because of those concerns by the administration were not consistent with the mission of Albright College. We believe that the administration had ample vices to express their feelings, but instead chose to over look those avenues and opted to make a hasty decision. SGA also feels that the administration provided no proof to act on their allegations regarding possible copyright infringement made by the *Albrightian*. The issue of copyright could have been settled easily by the administration, we believe they should have asked the paper's editors about their concerns. In fact, the editors were not asked and the administration used copyright infringement as partial grounds for their confiscation of the *Albrightian*. Consequently, the *Albrightian* does have permission from the *Reading Eagle* to reprint stories.

Additionally, SGA does not feel that our concerns were adequately addressed by the administration. We did not receive clear responses when we asked questions regarding decision to confiscate the paper. Serious actions were taken by the administration, which we believe to have been inappropriate. SGA believes in the rights of all members of this community and that those rights should not be infringed. Measures such as this should not be taken without proper engagement of all of the constituencies and without an exhaustion of all possible means of discourse.

In regards to Dr. Mehra, SGA does not believe that he is adequately serving the students and the paper that he advises. We do not believe that the *Albrightian* is a vehicle for one man's plight. Especially when that person is the advisor to the paper and their actions take the focus of the paper away from the students and shift it to a personal conflict.

Respectfully,

Derek DeCosmo

inspections of their rooms and belongings. This is unacceptable to me. There needs to be a common ground, but right now, administration dictates what your rights are. Stand up for what you believe in. Question things that don't seem right. Scrutinize.

The Scrutineer